

Does instinctive behaviour exist?

- Proponents — Ardrey, Lorenz, Tiger, Morris
- Based on data from animal kingdom: baboons →  
(dog, lions, ~~etc~~) ←
  - Why baboons? Great apes: gorillas, gibbons, chimps & orangutans (Morris admits 'pacific' → complex structure to get men out of trees & predators based on idea that they are)
  - Weakness of data — (most successful society)
  - Hunting species: but live primarily by gathering — and when they abandon hunting → increase in population

• Whole argument is not only specious but irrelevant when applied to human culture

• mutability of human culture even when envt is a constant  
Lewis Quote ↓  
• Margaret Mead: Arapesh; Mundugamoor, Tchambuli: exist in small area.

- Man is a culture-bearing animal — culture expresses our biological success & is also a result of it — use symbolism, abstraction, ingenuity to invent new ways of dealing with the world. → a process in which man makes himself, unlike all other animals: eg the Pill

• Causality: the key Q

For the mighty & → 'the argument of least variability' → Aristotle on slavery; freedom is 'unnatural'  
Truth may be that women, slaves etc are inferior — Question is then why not to beg it, say natural → in this society, socialization reinforces structural inferiority → economic, polit, social, psych.

• But where does it arise?

~~Speculation~~ but

Always been sexual div of labour, but even in primitive societies not nec. based on physical capacity — p4 Quote KG

What determinants?

- 1) Biology one influence
- 2) Ecology of the society more impr. technology, knowledge, natural resources. / Birth control.
- 3) History from man the hunter to tender of animals. women as gatherers → simple agriculture
- 3) Relations to external societies → man robes, makes contacts, has weapons → defence, warfare
- 4) Government & laws by elite gps → men.

In primate world. males stronger — warfare/protection  
vs female: mother

\*\*\* But no economic div of labour — ea forages for self  
no home, no sexual exploitation of the female, rel.  
little stable pairing (except <sup>(great apes)</sup> gibbons)

- Came out of trees (climatic changes) which also  
entailed eating more meat <sup>(less food available)</sup> — true div of labour →  
man the hunter (co-operatively)

~~Men and gals~~ — Can function reciprocally between hunters & gatherers,  
esp. when no surplus. — the more imptnce placed on  
gathering, the closer to equal.

Horticultural tribes of matrilineal, matriloca  
hunting/herding large a's: patrilineal, lower  
status for women.